

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

09	TERRY L. CALLANDRET,)	CASE NO. C05-0461-TSZ-MAT
10	Plaintiff,)	
11	v.)	
12	TERI HANSEN, et al.,)	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
13	Defendants.)	
14	_____)	

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY CONCLUSION

Plaintiff Terry L. Callandret, proceeding *pro se* and *informa pauperis*, filed a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint. (Dkt. 5.) Finding that complaint deficient in several respects, the Court issued an order declining to serve the complaint and granting plaintiff an opportunity to amend. (Dkt. 6.) Plaintiff submitted an amended complaint in response to the Court's order, curing all but one of the deficiencies identified by the Court. (Dkt. 7.) As described below, that deficiency concerns the attempt to name additional plaintiffs in this matter.

Mr. Callandret names four plaintiffs in addition to himself in his amended complaint: Brandon Green, Billy Graham, Aljeron Pleasant, and Troy Harrison. As a *pro se* litigant, Mr. Callandret may not represent any person in this action other than himself. *See, e.g., Cato v. United States*, 70 F.3d 1103, 1105 n.1 (9th Cir. 1995) (a non-attorney may appear only in her own behalf). In granting plaintiff an opportunity to amend, the Court noted that only Mr. Callandret

01 had signed the complaint. The Court warned Mr. Callandret that any *pro se* plaintiff who did not
02 sign an amended complaint was subject to dismissal from this action. *Id.*

03 The amended complaint, like the original, contains only Mr. Callandret's signature. (Dkt.
04 7 at 4.) Although Mr. Callandret attaches documents to the amended complaint which appear to
05 contain sections written by Mr. Green, Mr. Graham, Mr. Pleasant, and Mr. Harrison, there is no
06 indication that these individuals intend to appear as plaintiffs in this action. Moreover, the
07 "Statement of Claim" in the amended complaint addresses incidents specific to Mr. Callandret
08 alone. (*Id.* at 3.) Accordingly, the undersigned finds no basis for the continued inclusion of Mr.
09 Green, Mr. Graham, Mr. Pleasant, and Mr. Harrison as plaintiffs in this action.

10 For the reasons described above, the Court recommends that Mr. Green, Mr. Graham, Mr.
11 Pleasant, and Mr. Harrison be dismissed as plaintiffs in this action. A proposed order accompanies
12 this Report and Recommendation.

13 DATED this 30th day of June, 2005.

14 

15 Mary Alice Theiler
16 United States Magistrate Judge